arXiv:gr-qc/9607001v1 1 Jul 1996

On the Uniqueness of the Papapetrou–Majumdar Metric

Markus Heusler

Institute for Theoretical Physics
The University of Zurich
CH–8057 Zurich, Switzerland

Abstract

We establish the equality of the ADM mass and the total electric charge for asymptotically flat, static electrovac black hole spacetimes with completely degenerate, not necessarily connected horizons.

In a recent letter [1], Chruściel and Nadirashvili have established the uniqueness of the standard Papapetrou–Majumdar (PM) spacetimes [2], [3] amongst the non–singular (in an appropriate sense, see [1] for detailed conditions) electrovac black hole spacetimes of the PM form [4], [5], [6]. As the authors point out, a further step towards a complete classification of the static, asymptotically flat electrovac spacetimes could be achieved by proving the equality $M = |Q|$, which is supposed to hold if the horizon has (at least some) degenerate components. The aim of this letter is to derive this relation between the ADM mass and the total electric charge under the additional assumptions that all components of the Killing horizon have vanishing surface gravity and that the charges assigned to these components (see eq. (3) for the precise definition) have the same sign.

Let us consider a static, asymptotically flat electrovac spacetime (M, g) with a not necessarily connected Killing horizon H. The metric assumes locally the form

$$\boldsymbol{g} \,=\, -\,V\,dt\otimes dt \,+\, \tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}\,, \quad \text{where } V \,\equiv\, -\,\langle k\,,k\rangle \tag{1}$$

and where $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product with respect to $\boldsymbol{g}$. The Killing field (1-form) k is timelike ($V > 0$) throughout the domain of outer communications $\langle\!\langle \mathcal{J} \rangle\!\rangle$, and the potential V vanishes only on H, i.e., on the null hypersurface to which k is orthogonal.

We shall also use the fact that each connected component $\mathcal{H}_i$ of the intersection of H with an asymptotically flat, spacelike hypersurface Σ is a topological 2–sphere, provided that $\langle\!\langle \mathcal{J} \rangle\!\rangle$ is simply connected. In fact, the 'topological censorship' hypothesis has meanwhile been established, mainly on the basis of global hyperbolicity and the null energy condition (see [7], [8], [9], [10], and [11] for new results and more references).

In a stationary spacetime the total mass M and the charge Q (as seen from the asymptotically flat region) are given by the flux integrals

$$M \,=\, -\frac{1}{8\pi}\int_{S^2_\infty} *dk\;, \quad Q \,=\, -\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{S^2_\infty} *F\;, \tag{2}$$

where F denotes the electromagnetic 2–form and $*$ is the Hodge dual with respect to the spacetime metric $\boldsymbol{g}$. The horizon quantities M_i and Q_i are defined by the corresponding integrals over the topological 2–spheres $\mathcal{H}_i$,

$$M_i \,\equiv\, -\frac{1}{8\pi}\int_{\mathcal{H}_i} *dk\;, \quad Q_i \,\equiv\, -\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathcal{H}_i} *F\;. \tag{3}$$

(It is worth noticing that the M_i are not the same quantities as the m_i appearing in the numerators of the PM solution. The latter are related to the masses of the black holes as seen from the asymptotically flat region, whereas the former can be expressed in terms of the area $\mathcal{A}_i$ and the surface gravity κ_i of $\mathcal{H}_i$; $M_i = \frac{1}{4\pi}\kappa_i \mathcal{A}_i$.)

We shall now give an elementary derivation of the bound $M \geq |Q|$ in the general case, and of the equality $M = |Q|$ in the case of a degenerate horizon. More precisely, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem: Let (M, g) be an asymptotically flat electrovac spacetime with strictly static, simply connected domain of outer communications and not necessarily connected Killing horizon H. Let M and Q denote the total mass and charge, and let V and ϕ be the gravitational and the electrostatic potential, respectively. Then

(i) $M \geq |Q|$;

(ii) $M = |Q|$ if and only if $V = [\phi - \mathrm{sig}(Q)]^2$, i.e., if and only if the metric is locally of the PM form;

(iii) $M = |Q|$ if H is completely degenerate and if all charges Q_i (as defined in eq. (3)) have the same sign;

(iv) $M^2 = (\frac{1}{4\pi}\kappa\mathcal{A})^2 + Q^2$ and $V = \phi^2 - 2\frac{M}{Q}\phi + 1$ if H is connected (with surface gravity κ and area $\mathcal{A}$).

Proof: Let us start by compiling the relevant equations: In a static spacetime the vanishing of the twist implies that the electric and the magnetic fields, E and B, are parallel with a constant factor of proportionality (see, e.g., [12]). One can therefore apply a duality rotation to achieve $B = 0$. (For our purposes it is convenient to use the 1–forms $E \equiv -i_k F$ and $B \equiv i_k * F$, which should not be confused with the electric and the magnetic fields defined with respect to the normal of the spacelike hypersurface Σ. In fact, the definitions coincide only if Σ is a static hypersurface, which will not be assumed in the following.)

In the static case the Maxwell equations reduce to

$$dE \,=\, 0\,, \quad d^\dagger\left(\frac{E}{V}\right) \,=\, 0\,, \tag{4}$$

where $d^\dagger = *d*$ is the co–derivative with respect to the spacetime metric $\boldsymbol{g}$ and i_k denotes the interior product with k. (For arbitrary p-forms Ω, the latter can be computed from the identity $i_k\Omega = -*(k \wedge *\Omega)$; see, e.g., the appendix of [13] or [14] for Killing field identities). Since (under the natural requirements mentioned above [7]) the domain of outer communications $\langle\!\langle \mathcal{J} \rangle\!\rangle$ is simply connected, Maxwell's equations imply the existence of a potential ϕ, (with $E = d\phi$). Using the Einstein equation $R(k,k) = 8\pi T(k,k) = \langle E\,, E\rangle$ and the Killing field identity (see, e.g., [14])

$$d^\dagger\left(\frac{dV}{V}\right) \,=\, -\,\frac{2}{V}R(k,k)\,, \tag{5}$$

we obtain the (Poisson) equations for the potentials ϕ and V:

$$d^\dagger\left(\frac{d\phi}{V}\right) \,=\, 0\,, \quad d^\dagger\left(\frac{dV}{V}\right) \,=\, -\,\frac{2}{V}\,\langle d\phi\,, d\phi\rangle\,. \tag{6}$$

The reasoning is now based on similar divergence identities as used by Israel in the first proof of the electrovac uniqueness theorem [15] (see also [16]). In order to derive these identities, it is convenient to apply Stokes' theorem in the form

$$\int_{\partial\Sigma} f \, * (k \wedge \alpha) \, = \, \int_{\Sigma} \Big(\langle \alpha \, , df \rangle \, - \, f \, d^{\dagger}\alpha \Big) \, * \, k \, , \tag{7}$$

where f and α denote an arbitrary stationary function ($L_k f = 0$) and an arbitrary stationary 1-form ($L_k \alpha = 0$), respectively. (The above formula is obtained from integrating the dual of the identity $d^{\dagger}(k \wedge \Omega) \, = \, -(d^{\dagger}\Omega)\, k$, which is valid for arbitrary stationary 1-forms Ω. One then chooses $\Omega = f\alpha$ and applies the product formula $d^{\dagger}(f\alpha) = f \, d^{\dagger}\alpha - \langle df \, , \alpha \rangle$.) In order to be able to identify the boundary integrals with the quantities M, Q, M_i and Q_i, we also note that in the purely electric, static case one has

$$dk \, = \, -k \wedge \frac{dV}{V} \, , \quad F \, = \, k \wedge \frac{d\phi}{V} \, . \tag{8}$$

(Staticity is equivalent to $k \wedge dk = 0$. Applying i_k on this identity and using $i_k \, dk = -di_k k = dV$ yields the above formula for dk.)

We are now in a position to derive the desired identities: First choosing $\alpha = dV/V$ and then $\alpha = d\phi/V$ and using eqs. (6) on the r.h.s. of Stokes' identity (7) and eqs. (8) on the l.h.s., we obtain the identities ($\tilde{\eta} \equiv i_k \eta = *k$)

$$8\pi \, \Big(f_{\infty} M - \sum f_i M_i \Big) \;\; = \;\; \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{\langle dV, df \rangle}{V} \, + \, 2 \, f \, \frac{\langle E \, , E \rangle}{V} \right) \tilde{\eta} \, , \tag{9}$$

$$4\pi \, \Big(g_{\infty} Q - \sum g_i Q_i \Big) \;\; = \;\; - \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\langle E \, , dg \rangle}{V} \, \tilde{\eta} \, , \tag{10}$$

provided that f and g assume constant values on S^2_{∞} and on each component $\mathcal{H}_i$. This is always the case if f and g are algebraic expressions in terms of the potentials ϕ and V, since the latter are constant on S^2_{∞} and $\mathcal{H}_i$,

$$V \, |_{S^2_{\infty}} \;\; = \;\; 1 \, , \quad V \, |_{\mathcal{H}_i} = \, 0 \, , \tag{11}$$

$$\phi \, |_{S^2_{\infty}} \;\; = \;\; 0 \, , \quad \phi \, |_{\mathcal{H}_i} = \, \phi_i \, = \, \text{const.} \tag{12}$$

(We recall that this is a consequence of asymptotic flatness and the general properties of Killing horizons. The latter also imply that $R(k,k) = 0$ on H,

i.e., that E is null on H. Since, by definition, E is orthogonal to the null generator of the horizon, E and k are also parallel, $E = \sigma_i k$ on $\mathcal{H}_i$ (for some functions σ_i). Thus $L_\xi \phi = i_\xi E = \sigma_i \langle k\,, \xi\rangle = 0$ on $\mathcal{H}_i$ for every tangential field ξ, implying that $\phi_i = \text{const}$. Eventually, $\phi_\infty = 0$ follows from asymptotic flatness and the gauge freedom.)

In order to prove (i), we choose $f[\phi, V] = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{V}$ and $g[\phi, V] = V$. Using eq. (11), the l.h.sides of the identities (9) and (10) assume the values $4\pi M$ and $4\pi Q$, respectively. We therefore obtain

$$4\pi\ (M \pm Q)\ =\ \int_\Sigma \langle (E \mp \frac{dV}{2\sqrt{V}})\,, (E \mp \frac{dV}{2\sqrt{V}}) \rangle\ \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{\sqrt{V}}\ . \tag{13}$$

Since both E and dV are nowhere timelike in $\langle\!\langle \mathcal{J} \rangle\!\rangle$, this already establishes $M \geq |Q|$. (Here we need a strictly static domain of outer communications.)

Clearly $M = |Q|$ holds if and only if the integrand in eq. (13) vanishes, that is, if $d\phi = \pm d\sqrt{V}$. The asymptotic values of the potentials then imply that $V = (\phi \pm 1)^2$ (and thus $\phi_i = \mp 1\,, \forall i$). Considering now eq. (10) for $g = 1$ and for $g = \phi$, one finds $\text{sig}(\phi_i) = \text{sig}(Q)$. As for the second statement in (ii), it was already observed by Majumdar [3] that staticity, together with the above relation between the potentials, implies that the metric is locally of the PM form. In order to see this, one first notes that the Ricci tensor for the conformal spatial metric $\boldsymbol{h} \equiv V^{-1}\tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}$ reads

$$R^{(h)}_{ij}\ =\ R_{ij}\ -\ g_{ij}\,\frac{1}{2V}\left(\Delta V - \frac{\langle dV, dV\rangle}{V}\right)\ +\ \frac{1}{2V^2}\,V_{,i}\,V_{,j}\ ,$$

where all quantities on the r.h.s. refer to the spacetime metric. Now using the consequence $V\Delta V = \frac{3}{2}\langle dV, dV\rangle$ of the field equations (6) and $V = (\phi \pm 1)^2$, as well as the Einstein equations $R_{ij} = V^{-1}(g_{ij}\langle d\phi\,, d\phi\rangle - 2\,\phi_{,i}\,\phi_{,j}\,)$, enables one to conclude that $R^{(h)}_{ij}$ vanishes. Hence, the spatial manifold with metric $V^{-1}\tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}$ is flat, implying the second assertion in (ii).

In order to establish (iii), we add the identities (9) and (10) and determine the functions f and g such that the entire r.h.s. vanishes, i.e., such that

$$2f_\infty M\ -\ 2\sum f_i M_i\ +\ g_\infty Q\ -\ \sum g_i Q_i\ =\ 0\ . \tag{14}$$

This is the case if f and g are subject to the differential equations $\partial_\phi f = \partial_V g$, $2f = \partial_\phi g$ and $\partial_V f = 0$, the solutions of which are

$$\begin{aligned} f\ &=\ a\,\phi\ +\ b\,, \\ g\ &=\ a\,(V + \phi^2)\ +\ 2b\,\phi\ +\ c\,, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

with arbitrary constants a, b and c. Using the asymptotic values $f_\infty = b$, $g_\infty = a + c$ and the horizon values $f_i = a\phi_i + b$, $g_i = a\phi_i^2 + 2b\phi_i + c$, eq. (14) yields the following three relations (for $a = b = 0$, $c = 1$ and cyclic):

$$Q = \sum Q_i \,, \tag{16}$$

$$M = \sum \left(M_i + Q_i\phi_i \right) \,, \tag{17}$$

$$Q = \sum \left(2M_i\phi_i + Q_i\phi_i^2 \right) \,. \tag{18}$$

Taking advantage of these identities, it is not hard to verify that

$$M^2 = Q^2 + \sum \frac{Q}{Q_i} M_i^2 - \sum Q Q_i \left(\phi_i + \frac{M_i}{Q_i} - \frac{M}{Q} \right)^2 \,. \tag{19}$$

Since, by definition, $M_i = \frac{1}{4\pi}\kappa_i \mathcal{A}_i$, the second term on the r.h.s. vanishes if the horizon has only degenerate components; $\kappa_i = 0$, $\forall i$. Also requiring that all charges Q_i have the same sign, we find

$$M^2 \leq Q^2 \,, \quad \text{for } \kappa_i = 0 \text{ and } \mathrm{sig}(Q_i) = \mathrm{sig}(Q_j),\ \forall\, i, j \,. \tag{20}$$

In order to avoid a contradiction to (i), we conclude that $M = |Q|$.

Finally, with regard to (iv), we observe that eqs. (16) and (17) imply $Q = Q_H$ and $\phi_H = (M - M_H)/Q$, respectively, provided that the horizon has exactly one (non–degenerate or degenerate) component. Hence, the last term in eq. (19) does not contribute in this case, and the latter yields

$$M^2 = Q^2 + M_H^2 \,. \tag{21}$$

In order to conclude the proof, we introduce the function $\mathcal{F}$, defined by

$$\mathcal{F} = V - (\phi^2 - 2\frac{M}{Q}\phi + 1) \,. \tag{22}$$

Clearly $\mathcal{F}\,|_{S^2_\infty} = 0$. By virtue of eq. (21) and the above expression for ϕ_H we also have $\mathcal{F}_H = 0$, since $\mathcal{F} = V - (\phi - \phi_H)(\phi - \phi_H^{-1})$. In addition, the field equations (6) for the potentials imply $d^\dagger(\frac{d\mathcal{F}}{V}) = 0$. Hence, Stokes' theorem (7) (with $f = \mathcal{F}$ and $\alpha = d\mathcal{F}/V$) yields

$$\int_\Sigma \frac{\langle d\mathcal{F}\,, d\mathcal{F}\rangle}{V}\, \tilde{\eta} = 0 \,, \tag{23}$$

from which we conclude that $\mathcal{F}$ is constant. Thus, $\mathcal{F}$ vanishes identically, i.e., $V = \phi^2 - 2\frac{M}{Q}\phi + 1$, which concludes the proof of the theorem.

Concluding comments: The inequality (i), $M \geq |Q|$, has been established by Gibbons *et al* under more general conditions [17] (see also [18]). The general derivation proceeds along similar lines as Witten's proof of the positive mass theorem [19]. In particular, the proof requires solutions of the Dirac (Witten) equation with appropriate fall–off and boundary conditions [20]. Since not all problems concerning the existence of such spinor fields have been settled in a completely conclusive manner, we feel that the elementary derivation of $M \geq |Q|$ given above – although restricted to the static multi black hole case – might be of certain use.

It is worth recalling that the property (iv) – which was originally derived for a non–degenerate horizon – constitutes the backbone of the uniqueness proof for the Reissner–Nordström solution. The fact that ϕ depends only on the gravitational potential V was first established by Israel [15] and later (under weaker assumptions) by Müller zum Hagen *et al* [16]. We also note that in the case of a connected horizon, (i) and (ii) are immediate consequences of (iv).

Regarding the main result (iii), I am not aware of an alternative proof establishing $M = |Q|$ for the multi black hole case. The question whether this relation also holds if at least one (instead of each) component of H is degenerate is presently under investigation. In addition, it would be desirable to find a proof which works for arbitrary signs of the horizon charges Q_i. Eventually, a generalization of the above results to the stationary (not static) realm is also needed.

Acknowledgments: I wish to thank Piotr Chruściel for numerous suggestions and valuable comments on a first draft of this work. I also acknowledge discussions with the Relativity Groups at the University of Zurich and the Enrico Fermi Institute. This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

References

[1] Chruściel P T and Nadirashvili N S 1995 All electrovac Majumdar–Papapetrou spacetimes with non–singular black holes *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12** L17–L23

[2] Papapetrou A 1945 A static solution of the equations of the gravitational field for an arbitrary charge–distribution *Proc. R. Irish Acad. A* **51** 191–204

[3] Majumdar S D 1947 A class of exact solutions of Einstein's field equations *Phys. Rev.* **72** 390–398

[4] Israel W and Wilson G A 1972 A class of stationary electromagnetic vacuum fields *J. Math. Phys.* **13** 865–867

[5] Perjes Z 1971 Solutions of the coupled Einstein–Maxwell equations representing the fields of spinning sources *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **27** 1668–1670

[6] Hartle J B and Hawking S W 1972 Solutions of the Einstein–Maxwell equations with many Black Holes *Commun. Math. Phys.* **26** 87–101

[7] Chruściel P T and Wald R M 1994 On the Topology of Stationary Black Holes *Class. Quantum Grav.* **11** L147–L152

[8] Galloway G 1993 On the Topology of Black Holes *Commun. Math. Phys.* **151** 53–66

[9] Galloway G 1994 Least Area Tori, Black Holes and Topological Censorship *Proc. Joint AMS/CMS Conf. on Mathematical Physics and Differential Geometry* ed J Beem and K L Duggal

[10] Chruściel P T 1994 'No-Hair' Theorems – Folklore, Conjectures, Results *Proc. Joint AMS/CMS Conf. on Mathematical Physics and Differential Geometry* ed J Beem and K L Duggal

[11] Galloway G 1996 A 'finite infinity' version of topological censorship *Class. Quantum Grav.* **13** 1471–1478

[12] Heusler M 1994 On the uniqueness of the Reissner–Nordström solution with electric and magnetic charge *Class. Quantum Grav.* **11** L49–L53

[13] Heusler M and Straumann N 1993 The first law of black hole physics for a class of non-linear matter models *Class. Quantum Grav.* **10** 1299–1321

[14] Heusler M 1996 *Black Hole Uniqueness Theorems* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)

[15] Israel W 1968 Event horizons in static electrovac space–times *Commun. Math. Phys.* **8** 245–260

[16] Müller zum Hagen H and Robinson D C 1972 Black holes in static electrovac space–times *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **5** 61–72

[17] Gibbons G W, Hawking S W, Horowitz G T and Perry M J 1983 Positive mass theorem for black holes *Commun. Math. Phys.* **88** 259–308

[18] Gibbons G W and Hull C M 1982 A Bogomolny bound for general relativity and solitons in $N = 2$ supergravity *Phys. Lett. B* **109** 190–194

[19] Witten E 1981 A new proof of the positive energy theorem *Commun. Math. Phys.* **80** 381–402

[20] Tod K P All metrics admitting super–covariantly constant spinors *Phys. Lett. B* **121** 241–244